arXiv:math/0004181v3 [math.OA] 19 Jul 2001

THE CONNES-HIGSON CONSTRUCTION IS AN ISOMORPHISM

VLADIMIR MANUILOV AND KLAUS THOMSEN

Abstract. Let A be a separable C^*-algebra and B a stable C^*-algebra containing a strictly positive element. We show that the group $\mathrm{Ext}(SA, B)$ of unitary equivalence classes of extensions of SA by B, modulo the extensions which are asymptotically split, coincides with the group of homotopy classes of such extensions. This is done by proving that the Connes-Higson construction gives rise to an isomorphism between $\mathrm{Ext}(SA, B)$ and the E-theory group $E(A, B)$ of homotopy classes of asymptotic homomorphisms from S^2A to B.

1. Introduction

The fundamental homotopy functors on the category of separable C^*-algebras are all based on extensions — either *a priori* or *a posteriori* . So also the E-theory of Connes and Higson; in the words of the founders: 'La E-theorie est ainsi le quotient par homotopie de la théorie des extensions', cf. [CH]. The connection between the asymptotic homomorphisms which feature explicitly in the definition of E-theory, and C^*-extensions, appears as a fundamental construction which associates an asymptotic homomorphism $SA \to B$ to a given extension of A by B. While it is easy to see that the homotopy class of the asymptotic homomorphism only depends on the homotopy class of the extension it is not so easy to decide if the converse is also true; if the extensions must be homotopic when the asymptotic homomorphisms which they give rise to via the Connes-Higson construction are. A part of the main result in the present paper asserts that this is the case when A is a suspension and B is stable. Rather unexpectedly it turned out that the methods we developed for this were also able to characterize E-theory as the quotient of all extensions of SA by B by an algebraic relation which is very similar to the algebraic relation which has been considered on the set of extensions since the way-breaking work of Brown, Douglas and Fillmore, [BDF]. Recall that in the BDF-approach two C^*-extensions are identified when they become unitarily equivalent after addition by extensions which are split, meaning that the quotient map admits a $*$-homomorphism as a right-inverse. In the algebraic relation, on the set of all C^*-extensions of SA by B, which we will show gives rise to E-theory, two extensions are identified when they become unitarily equivalent after addition by extensions which are asymptotically split, where we call an extension

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & B \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r, "p"] & A \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

asymptotically split when there is an asymptotic homomorphism $\pi = (\pi_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$: $A \to E$ such that $p \circ \pi_t = \mathrm{id}_A$ for all t. We emphasize that with this relation all extensions of SA by B admit an inverse. In contrast, Kirchberg has shown, [Ki], that the unitary equivalence classes of extensions of SA by $\mathcal{K}$, modulo the split extensions, do not form a group when A is the reduced group C^*-algebra of a discrete non-amenable subgroup of a connected Lie-group. Since our results show that the algebraic relation we have just described is the same as homotopy, our main result can also be considered as a result on homotopy invariance and it is therefore noteworthy that the proof is self-contained, and in particular does not depend on the homotopy invariance results of Kasparov.

Since there is also an equivariant version of E-theory, [GHT], which is being used in connection with the Baum-Connes conjecture, we formulate and prove our results in the equivariant case. With the present technology this does not require much additional work, but since some of the material which we shall build on does not explicitly consider the equivariant setting, notably [DL] and [H-LT], there are a few places where we leave the reader to check that the results from these sources can be adapted to the equivariant case.

2. An alternative to the BDF extension group

Let G be a locally compact, σ-compact group, and let A and B be separable G-algebras, i.e. separable C^*-algebras with a pointwise norm-continuous action of G by automorphisms. Assume also that B is weakly stable, i.e. that B is equivariantly isomorphic to $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ where $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the compact operators of l_2 with the trivial G-action. Let $M(B)$ denote the multiplier algebra of B, $Q(B) = M(B)/B$ the corresponding corona algebra and $q_B : M(B) \to Q(B)$ the quotient map. Then G acts by automorphisms on both $M(B)$ and $Q(B)$[1]. It follows from [Th1] that we can identify the set of equivariant $*$-homomorphisms, $\mathrm{Hom}_G(A, Q(B))$, from A to $Q(B)$ with the set of G-extensions of A by B. Two G-extensions $\varphi, \psi : A \to Q(B)$ are *unitarily equivalent* when there is a unitary $w \in M(B)$ such that $q_B(w) \in Q(B)$ is G-invariant and $\mathrm{Ad}\, q_B(w) \circ \varphi = \psi$. Since B is weakly stable the set of unitary equivalence classes of extensions of A by B form a semi-group; the addition is obtained by choosing two G-invariant isometries $V_1, V_2 \in M(B)$ such that $V_1V_1^* + V_2V_2^* = 1$ and setting $\varphi \oplus \psi = q_B(V_1)\varphi(\cdot)q_B(V_1)^* + q_B(V_2)\psi(\cdot)q_B(V_2)^*$. A G-extension $\varphi : A \to Q(B)$ will be called *asymptotically split* when there is an asymptotic homomorphism $\pi = \{\pi_t\}_{t \in [1,\infty)} : A \to M(B)$ such that $q_B \circ \pi_t = \varphi$ for all t. All asymptotic homomorphisms we consider in this paper will be assumed to be equivariant in the sense that $\lim_{t\to\infty} g \cdot \pi_t(a) - \pi_t(g \cdot a) = 0$ for all $a \in A$ and $g \in G$. As in [MT2] we say that a G-extension $\varphi : A \to Q(B)$ is *semi-invertible* when there is a G-extension $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(A, Q(B))$ such that $\varphi \oplus \psi : A \to Q(B)$ is asymptotically split. Two semi-invertible extensions, φ, ψ, are called *stably unitary equivalent* when they become unitarily equivalent after addition by asymptotically split extensions, i.e. when there is an asymptotically split extension λ such that $\varphi \oplus \lambda$ is unitarily equivalent to $\psi \oplus \lambda$. This is an equivalence relation on the subset of semi-invertible extensions in $\mathrm{Hom}_G(A, Q(B))$ and the corresponding equivalence classes form an abelian group which we denote by $\mathrm{Ext}^{-1/2}(A, B)$. For any locally compact space X we consider $C_0(X) \otimes A$ as a G-algebra with the trivial G-action on the tensor factor $C_0(X)$. When $X = (0, 1]$ we denote $C_0(0, 1] \otimes A$ by $\mathrm{cone}(A)$. Similarly, we set $SA = C_0(0, 1) \otimes A$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\lambda : \mathrm{cone}(A) \to Q(B)$ be a G-extension. It follows that there is an asymptotic homomorphism $\pi = (\pi_t)_{t \in [1,\infty)} : \mathrm{cone}(A) \to M_2(M(B))$ such that*

$$q_{M_2(B)} \circ \pi_t = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

---

[1] These actions are not pointwise normcontinuous in general.

for all $t \in [1, \infty)$.

Proof. The proof is based on an idea of Voiculescu, cf. [V]. Let $\mu : \mathrm{cone}(A) \to M(B)$ be a continuous, self-adjoint and homogeneous lift of λ such that $\|\mu(x)\| \leq 2\|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathrm{cone}(A)$. Such μ exists by the Bartle-Graves selection theorem, cf. [L]. Define $\varphi_s : \mathrm{cone}(A) \to \mathrm{cone}(A)$ such that $\varphi_s(f)(t) = f((1-s)t), s \in [0,1]$. Choose continuous functions $f_i : [1, \infty) \to [0,1], i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, such that

1) $f_0(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [1, \infty)$,
2) $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$ for all n,
3) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_i(t) = 1$ for all $i \geq m_n$, and all $t \in [1, n+1]$,
4) $\lim_{t \to \infty} \max_i |f_i(t) - f_{i+1}(t)| = 0$.

Let $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq F_3 \subseteq \cdots$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets with dense union in cone(A). Write $G = \bigcup_n K_n$ where $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq K_3 \subseteq \cdots$ are compact subsets of G. For each n, choose $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ as in 3). We may assume that $m_{n+1} > m_n$. By Lemma 1.4 of [K] we can choose elements

$$X_0^n \geq X_1^n \geq X_2^n \geq \cdots$$

in B such that $0 \leq X_i^n \leq 1$ for all i and $X_i^n = 0$ for $i \geq m_n$, and

1') $X_i^n X_{i+1}^n = X_{i+1}^n$ for all i,
2') $\|X_i^n b - b\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, m_n - 1$, and all $b \in S_n$,
3') $\|X_i^n y - y X_i^n\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all i and all $y \in L_n$,
4') $\|g \cdot X_i^n - X_i^n\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$, $g \in K_n$, for all i,
5') $\|X_i^n(g \cdot \mu(a) - \mu(g \cdot a)) - (g \cdot \mu(a) - \mu(g \cdot a))\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$, $g \in K_n, a \in F_n$, for all $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, m_n - 1$,

where L_n and S_n are the compact sets $L_n = \{\mu(\varphi_s(a)) : \ s \in [0,1], \ a \in F_n\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} S_n = &\{\mu(\varphi_s(a)) + \mu(\varphi_s(b)) - \mu(\varphi_s(a+b)) : \ a, b \in F_n, \ s \in [0,1]\} \\ &\cup \{\mu(\varphi_s(ab)) - \mu(\varphi_s(a))\mu(\varphi_s(b)) : \ a, b \in F_n, \ s \in [0,1]\} . \end{aligned}$$

Since we choose the X's recursively we can arrange that $X_i^{n+1} X_k^n = X_k^n$ for all k and all $i \leq m_{n+1}$. By connecting first X_0^n to X_0^{n+1} via the straight line between them, then X_1^n to X_1^{n+1} via a straight line, then X_2^n to X_2^{n+1} etc., we obtain norm-continuous pathes, $X(t,i), t \in [n, n+1], i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots$, in B such $X(n,i) = X_i^n, \ X(n+1, i) = X_i^{n+1}$ for all i and

a) $X(t,i)X(t,i+1) = X(t,i+1), \ t \in [n, n+1]$, for all i,
b) $\|X(t,i)b - b\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, m_n - 1, \ t \in [n, n+1]$ and all $b \in S_n$,
c) $\|X(t,i)y - yX(t,i)\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all i, all $t \in [n, n+1]$ and all $y \in L_n$,
d) $\|g \cdot X(t,i) - X(t,i)\| \leq \frac{1}{n}, g \in K_n, t \in [n, n+1]$, for all i,
e) $\|X(t,i)(g \cdot \mu(a) - \mu(g \cdot a)) - (g \cdot \mu(a) - \mu(g \cdot a))\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$, $g \in K_n, a \in F_n, t \in [n, n+1]$, for all $i = 0, 1, \cdots, m_n - 1$.

In addition, $X(t,i) = 0, i \geq m_{n+1}, t \in [n, n+1]$. Let $l_2(B)$ denote the Hilbert B-module of sequences $(b_1, b_2, b_3, \cdots)$ in B such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i^* b_i$ converges in norm. Writing an element $(b_1, b_2, b_3, \cdots) \in l_2(B)$ as the sum $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i e_i$ we define a representation V of G on $l_2(B)$ such that $V_g(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i e_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (g \cdot b_i) e_i$. Then G acts by automorphisms on $\mathbb{L}(l_2(B))$ (= the adjoinable operators on $l_2(B)$) such that

$g \cdot m = V_g m V_{g^{-1}}$. Set

$$T_t = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - X(t,0)} & \sqrt{X(t,0) - X(t,1)} & \sqrt{X(t,1) - X(t,2)} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{L}(l_2(B)).$$

Then $P_t = T_t^* T_t$ is a projection in $\mathbb{L}(l_2(B))$ since $T_t T_t^*$ clearly is. Note that P_t is tri-diagonal because of condition a) above, and that the entries of P_t are all in B, with the notable exception of the 1×1-entry which is equal to 1 modulo B. We define $\delta_t : \operatorname{cone}(A) \to \mathbb{L}(l_2(B))$ by

$$\delta_t(a)(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i e_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(\varphi_{f_i(t)}(a)) b_i e_i.$$

Set $\pi_t(a) = P_t \delta_t(a) P_t$ for $a \in \operatorname{cone}(A)$ and $t \in [1, \infty)$. We assert that $\pi = (\pi_t)_{t \in [1,\infty)}$ is an asymptotic homomorphism. By using the continuity of μ and that $\{\varphi_s(a) : s \in [0,1]\}$ is a compact set for fixed a, it follows readily that the family of maps $a \mapsto \pi_t(a), t \in [1, \infty)$, is an equicontinuous family. Since each π_t is self-adjoint and homogeneous, it suffices therefore to take an n and elements $a, b \in F_n$, $g \in K_n$, and check that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P_t \delta_t(a) P_t \delta_t(b) P_t - P_t \delta_t(ab) P_t = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P_t \delta_t(a + b) P_t - P_t \delta_t(a) P_t - P_t \delta_t(b) P_t = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P_t \delta_t(g \cdot a) P_t - g \cdot (P_t \delta_t(a) P_t) = 0.$$

The first two limits are zero by 4), b) and c), the third by d) and e). For each a, t, $P_t \delta_t(a) P_t = \operatorname{diag}(\mu(a), 0, 0, \cdots)$ modulo $\mathbb{K}(l_2(B))$ (= the ideal of 'compact' operators on $l_2(B)$). Since B is weakly stable there is an equivariant isomorphism $l_2(B) \simeq B \oplus B$ of Hilbert B-modules which leaves the first coordinate invariant. We can therefore transfer π to an asymptotic homomorphism $\pi = (\pi_t)_{t \in [1,\infty)} : \operatorname{cone}(A) \to \mathbb{L}(B \oplus B) = M_2(M(B))$ with the stated property.

□

Two G-extensions $\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(A, Q(B))$ are *strongly homotopic* when there is a path $\Phi_t \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(A, Q(B)), t \in [0,1]$, such that $\Phi_0 = \varphi, \Phi_1 = \psi$ and $t \mapsto \Phi_t(a)$ is continuous for all $a \in A$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\varphi : A \to Q(B)$ be a G-extension which is strongly homotopic to* 0 *in* $\operatorname{Hom}_G(A, Q(B))$*. It follows that there is an asymptotic homomorphism $\pi = (\pi_t)_{t \in [1,\infty)} : A \to M_2(M(B))$ such that*

$$q_{M_2(B)} \circ \pi_t = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $t \in [1, \infty)$.

Proof. Since φ is strongly homotopic to 0 there is an equivariant $*$-homomorphism $\mu : A \to \operatorname{cone}(D)$, where $D \subseteq Q(B)$ is a separable G-algebra containing $\varphi(A)$, and an equivariant $*$-homomorphism $\lambda : \operatorname{cone}(D) \to Q(B)$ such that $\varphi = \lambda \circ \mu$. Apply Lemma 2.1 to λ. □

Corollary 2.3. *Every G-extension $\varphi : SA \to Q(B)$ is semi-invertible.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut} SA$ be the automorphism of SA given by $\alpha(f)(t) = f(1-t)$. It is wellknown that $\varphi \oplus (\varphi \circ \alpha)$ is strongly homotopic to 0. Hence $\varphi \oplus (\varphi \circ \alpha) \oplus 0$ is asymptotically split by Theorem 2.2. □

Because of Corollary 2.3 we drop the superscript $-1/2$ and write $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B)$ instead of $\operatorname{Ext}^{-1/2}(SA, B)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\varphi, \psi : SA \to Q(B)$ be two G-extensions which are strongly homotopic. It follows that φ and ψ are stably unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that $\lambda_1 = (\varphi \circ \alpha) \oplus \varphi \oplus 0$ and $\lambda_2 = (\varphi \circ \alpha) \oplus \psi \oplus 0$ are both asymptotically split. Since $\psi \oplus \lambda_1$ and $\varphi \oplus \lambda_2$ are unitarily equivalent, the conclusion follows because infinite direct sums are well-defined for asymptotically split extensions. □

Set $IB = C[0,1] \otimes B$ and let $e_t : IB \to B$ denote evaluation at $t \in [0,1]$ and note that e_t defines a equivariant $*$-homomorphisms $M(IB) \to M(B)$ and $Q(IB) \to Q(B)$ which we again denote by e_t. Two G-extensions $\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(A, Q(B))$ are *homotopic* when there is a G-extension $\Phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(A, Q(IB))$ such that $e_0 \circ \Phi = \varphi$ and $e_1 \circ \Phi = \psi$. As in [MT2] we denote the set of homotopy classes of G-extensions by $\operatorname{Ext}(A, B)_h$. In general this is merely an abelian semigroup, but $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B)_h$ is a group.

The Connes-Higson construction associates to any G-extension $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(A, Q(B))$ an asymptotic homomorphism $CH(\varphi) : SA \to B$ in the following way, cf. [CH], [GHT]: By Lemma 1.4 of [K] or Lemma 5.3 of [GHT] there is a norm-continuous path $\{u_t\}_{t \in [1,\infty)}$ of elements in B such that $0 \leq u_t \leq 1$ for all t, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|u_t b - b\| = 0$ for all $b \in B$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|u_t m - m u_t\| = 0$ for all $m \in q_B^{-1}(\varphi(A))$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|g \cdot u_t - u_t\| = 0$ for all $g \in G$. From these data $CH(\varphi)$ is determined up to asymptotic equality as the equicontinuous[2] asymptotic homomorphism $CH(\varphi) : SA \to B$ which satisfies that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} CH(\varphi)_t(f \otimes a) - f(u_t)x = 0, \qquad x \in q_B^{-1}(\varphi(a)),$$

for all $f \in C_0(0,1)$ and all $a \in A$. Let $[[SA, B]]$ denote the abelian group of homotopy classes of asymptotic homomorphisms, $SA \to B$, cf. [CH], [GHT]. The Connes-Higson construction defines in the obvious way a semi-group homomorphism $CH : \operatorname{Ext}(A, B)_h \to [[SA, B]]$. Since there is a canonical (semi-group) homomorphism $\operatorname{Ext}^{-1/2}(A, B) \to \operatorname{Ext}(A, B)_h$ we may also consider the Connes-Higson construction as a homomorphism $CH : \operatorname{Ext}^{-1/2}(A, B) \to [[SA, B]]$. Notice that $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B)_h$ are both abelian groups and the canonical map $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B) \to \operatorname{Ext}(SA, B)_h$ is a surjective group homomorphism by Corollary 2.3. In Corollary 5.4 below we show that it is an isomorphism.

3. On equivalence of asymptotic homomorphisms

Lemma 3.1. *Let A and B be separable G-algebras, B weakly stable. Let $\varphi = (\varphi_t)_{t \in [1,\infty)} : A \to B$ be an asymptotic homomorphism which is homotopic to 0.*

---

[2] Equicontinuity of an asymptotic homomorphism $\pi = (\pi_t)_{t \in [1,\infty)} : A \to B$ means that $A \times G \ni (a, g) \mapsto g \cdot \pi_t(a), t \in [1, \infty)$, is an equicontinuous family of maps.

It follows that there is an asymptotic homomorphism $\psi = (\psi_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)} : A \to B$ *and a norm-continuous path* $\{W_t\}_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ *of* G*-invariant unitaries in* $M(M_2(B))$ *such that*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_t(a) & \\ & \psi_t(a) \end{pmatrix} - W_t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & \psi_t(a) \end{pmatrix} W_t^* = 0$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. Let $\Phi = (\Phi_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)} : A \to IB$ be an asymptotic homomorphism such that $e_0 \circ \Phi_t(a) = 0, e_1 \circ \Phi_t(a) = \varphi_t(a)$ for all $t \in [1,\infty), a \in A$. We may assume that both φ and Φ are equicontinuous, cf. Proposition 2.4 of [Th2]. Let $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq F_3 \subseteq \cdots$ be a sequence of finite subsets with dense union in A. For each n there is $\delta_n > 0$ with the property that

$$\|e_x \circ \Phi_t(a) - e_y \circ \Phi_t(a)\| < \frac{1}{n}$$

when $|x-y| < \delta_n, t \in [1,n], a \in F_n$. Choose then a sequence of functions $f_k : [1,\infty) \to [0,1]$ such that $f_1(t) = 1,\ f_k \geq f_{k+1},\ |f_k(t) - f_{k+1}(t)| < \delta_n,\ t \in [1,n]$ for all k, n and such that $f_k|_{[1,n]} = 0$ for all but finitely many k's for all n. Set $\lambda^n_t(a) = e_{f_n(t)} \circ \Phi_t(a)$ for all $a \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in [1,\infty)$. Note that $\|\lambda^i_t(a) - \lambda^{i+1}_t(a)\| < \frac{1}{n}, a \in F_n,\ t \in [1,n]$, for all i and n. Then

$$\mu_t(a) = \operatorname{diag}(\varphi_t(a), \lambda^1_t(a), \lambda^2_t(a), \lambda^3_t(a), \cdots) \ \in\ \mathbb{K}(l_2(B))$$

and

$$\delta_t(a) = \operatorname{diag}(0, \lambda^1_t(a), \lambda^2_t(a), \lambda^3_t(a), \cdots) \ \in\ \mathbb{K}(l_2(B))$$

define asymptotic homomorphisms $\mu, \delta : A \to \mathbb{K}(l_2(B))$. By connecting appropriate permutation unitaries, acting on $l_2(B)$ by permutations of B-coordinates, we get a norm-continuous path of G-invariant unitaries $\{S_t\}_{t\in[1,\infty)} \subseteq \mathbb{L}(l_2(B))$ such that

$$S_t \delta_t(a) S_t^* = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda^1_t(a), \lambda^2_t(a), \lambda^3_t(a), \cdots)$$

for all a, t. Then $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mu_t(a) - S_t\delta_t(a)S_t^* = 0$ for all $a \in A$. Since B is weakly stable there is an isomorphism $l_2(B) \to B \oplus B$ of Hilbert B,G-algebras which fixes the first coordinate. Applying this isomorphism in the obvious way and remembering the identifications $\mathbb{K}(B \oplus B) = M_2(B)$ and $\mathbb{L}(B \oplus B) = M(M_2(B))$ gives the result. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let* A *and* B *be separable* G*-algebras,* B *weakly stable. Assume that* $[[A,B]]$ *is a group. Two asymptotic homomorphisms,* $\varphi = (\varphi_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$, $\psi = (\psi_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)} : A \to B$, *are homotopic if and only if there is an asymptotic homomorphism* $\lambda = (\lambda_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)} : A \to B$ *and a norm-continuous path* $\{W_t\}_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ *of* G*-invariant unitaries in* $M(M_2(B))$ *such that*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_t(a) & \\ & \lambda_t(a) \end{pmatrix} - W_t \begin{pmatrix} \psi_t(a) & \\ & \lambda_t(a) \end{pmatrix} W_t^* = 0$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. The 'if' part is easy and the 'only if' part follows from Lemma 3.1 in the same way as Lemma 2.4 follows from Theorem 2.2. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let* B *be a weakly stable* G*-algebra and* D_0 *a separable* G*-subalgebra of* $C_b([1,\infty), B)$. *Let* $V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_N \in M(B)$ *be* G*-invariant isometries. There is then a weakly stable separable* G*-subalgebra* D *of* $C_b([1,\infty), B)$ *such that* $V_iD \cup V_i^*D \cup D_0 \subseteq D$ *for all* $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$.

Proof. Since B is weakly stable we can write $B = B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ with G acting trivially on the tensor-factor $\mathcal{K}$. We embed $\mathcal{K}$ into $M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ via $x \mapsto 1_B \otimes x$. Let $\{f_n\} \subseteq C_b([1,\infty), B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ be a dense sequence in D_0. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a function $g_n \in C_b([1,\infty), \mathcal{K})$ such that $\|g_n f_n - f_n\| < \frac{1}{n}$. Let E_{00} be the C^*-algebra generated by $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Then $E_{00} \subseteq C_b([1,\infty), \mathcal{K}) \subseteq C_b([1,\infty), B^+ \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Consider a positive element $f \in E_{00}$ and an $\epsilon > 0$. Set $U_j =]j, j+2[\cap [1,\infty[, j = 0,1,2,\cdots$. We can then find a sequence $p_0 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots$ of projections in $\mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in \overline{U_j}} \|p_j f(x) p_j - f(x)\| < \epsilon.$$

Let $\{h_j\}$ be a partition of unity in $C_b[1,\infty)$ subordinate to the cover $\{U_j\}$ and set $g(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j(t) p_j f(t) p_j$. Then $g \in C_b([1,\infty), \mathcal{K})$, $g \geq 0$, $\|g - f\| < \epsilon$. For each j we choose a partial isometry $v_j \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $v_j v_j^* = p_{j+2}$, $v_j^* v_j p_{j+2} = 0$ and $v_j^* v_j v_k^* v_k = 0, k < j$. Set $h(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{h_j(t)}\, v_j$. Then $hh^* g = g$ and $h^* h g = 0$. It follows that we can find a sequence $E_{00} = X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq X_3 \subseteq \cdots$ of separable C^*-subalgebras of $C_b([1,\infty), \mathcal{K})$ and for each n have a dense sequence $\{f_1, f_2, \cdots\}$ in the positive part of X_n and elements $\{v_1, v_2, \cdots\}$ in X_{n+1} such that $\|f_k - v_k^* v_k\| < \frac{1}{k}$ and $v_k^* v_k v_k v_k^* = 0$ for all k. It follows then from Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.1 of [HR] that $E_0 = \overline{\bigcup_n X_n}$ is a separable stable C^*-subalgebra of $C_b([1,\infty), \mathcal{K})$ such that $E_{00} \subseteq E_0$. Note that E_0 contains a sequence $\{r_n\}$ with the property that $\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n x = x$ for all $x \in D_0$ since E_{00} does. Set $W = \{V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_N\} \cup \{V_1^*, V_2^*, \cdots, V_N^*\}$. By repeating the above argument with D_0 substituted by the G-algebra D_1 generated by $D_0 \cup W D_0 \cup E_0 D_0$, we get a stable C^*-subalgebra $E_1 \subseteq C_b([1,\infty), \mathcal{K})$ which contains a sequence $\{r_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n y = y$ for all $y \in D_1$. It is clear from the construction that we can arrange that $E_0 \subseteq E_1$. We can therefore continue this procedure to obtain sequences of separable G-algebras, $D_0 \subseteq D_1 \subseteq D_2 \subseteq D_3 \subseteq \cdots$ in $C_b([1,\infty), B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, and $E_0 \subseteq E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq E_3 \subseteq \cdots$ in $C_b([1,\infty), \mathcal{K}) \subseteq C_b([1,\infty), B^+ \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that each E_n is stable and contains a sequence $\{r_k\}$ such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} r_k x = x, \ x \in D_n$, and $D_n \cup W D_n \cup E_n D_n \subseteq D_{n+1}$ for all n. Set $E_\infty = \overline{\bigcup_n E_n}$ and $D = \overline{\bigcup_n D_n}$. It follows from Corollary 4.1 of [HR] that E_∞ is stable. By construction $V_i D \cup V_i^* D \subseteq D$ for all i and $E_\infty D \subseteq D$. The last property ensures that D is an ideal in the G-algebra E generated by E_∞ and D. There is therefore a $*$-homomorphism $\lambda : E_\infty \to M(D)$. By construction an approximate unit for E_∞ is also an approximate unit for D so λ extends to a $*$-homomorphism $\lambda : M(E_\infty) \to M(D)$ which is strictly continuous on the unit ball of $M(E_\infty)$. Since E_∞ is stable there is a sequence $P_i, i = 1,2,\cdots$, of orthogonal and Murray-von Neumann equivalent projections in $M(E_\infty)$ which sum to 1 in the strict topology. Then $Q_i = \lambda(P_i), i = 1,2,\cdots$, is a sequence of orthogonal and Murray-von Neumann equivalent projections in $M(D)$ which sum to 1 in the strict topology. Since E_∞ consists entirely of G-invariant elements it follows that all the Q_i's are G-invariant. Consequently $D \simeq Q_1 D Q_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ as G-algebras, proving that D is weakly stable. □

Two asymptotic homomorphisms $\varphi = (\varphi_t)_{t \in [1,\infty)}, \ \psi = (\psi_t)_{t \in [1,\infty)} : A \to B$ will be called *equi-homotopic* when there is a family $\Phi^\lambda = (\Phi_t^\lambda)_{t \in [1,\infty)} : A \to B, \ \lambda \in [0,1]$, of asymptotic homomorphisms such that the family of maps, $[0,1] \ni \lambda \mapsto \Phi_t^\lambda(a), \ t \in [1,\infty)$, is equicontinuous for each $a \in A$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let A and B be separable G-algebras, B weakly stable. Let $\varphi = (\varphi_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$, $\psi = (\psi_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)} : SA \to B$ be asymptotic homomorphisms. Then the following are equivalent:*

1) *φ and ψ are homotopic (i.e. $[\varphi] = [\psi]$ in $[[SA, B]]$).*
2) *φ and ψ are equi-homotopic.*
3) *There is an asymptotic homomorphism $\lambda = (\lambda_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)} : SA \to B$ and a norm-continuous path $\{W_t\}_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ of G-invariant unitaries in $M(M_2(B))$ such that*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_t(a) & \\ & \lambda_t(a) \end{pmatrix} - W_t \begin{pmatrix} \psi_t(a) & \\ & \lambda_t(a) \end{pmatrix} W_t^* = 0$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. The equivalence 1) ⇔ 3) follows from Theorem 3.2 and the implication 2) ⇒ 1) is trivial, so we need only prove that 1) ⇒ 2). To this end, let $[[SA, B]]^e$ denote the set of equi-homotopy classes of asymptotic homomorphisms $SA \to B$. Choose G-invariant isometries $V_1, V_2 \in M(B)$ such that $V_1V_1^* + V_2V_2^* = 1$ and define a composition in $[[SA, B]]^e$ by

$$[\varphi] + [\psi] = [(V_1\varphi_tV_1^* + V_2\psi_tV_2^*)_{t\in[1,\infty)}] \ .$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $[[SA, B]]^e$ is a group. It suffices therefore to show that the natural map $[[SA, B]]^e \to [[SA, B]]$ has trivial kernel. If φ is an asymptotic homomorphism representing an element in the kernel we conclude from Lemma 3.1 that there is a norm-continuous path $W_t, t \in [1, \infty)$, of G-invariant unitaries in $M_2(M(B)))$ and an asymptotic homomorphism ψ such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_t(a) & & & \\ & \psi_t(a) & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} W_t & \\ & W_t^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \psi_t(a) & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W_t^* & \\ & W_t \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

for all $a \in SA$. By a standard rotation argument we can remove the unitaries $\begin{pmatrix} W_t & \\ & W_t^* \end{pmatrix}$ via an equi-homotopy and we see in this way that $[\varphi] + [\psi] = [\psi]$ in $[[SA, B]]^e$. Hence $[\varphi] = 0$ in $[[SA, B]]^e$.

□

Simple examples show that the implications 1) ⇒ 2) and 1) ⇒ 3) of Theorem 3.4 generally fail in $[[A, B]]$.

4. MAKING GENUINE HOMOMORPHISMS OUT OF ASYMPTOTIC ONES

Let A and B be separable C^*-algebras. Set

$$M(B)_G = \{x \in M(B) : \ G \ni g \mapsto g \cdot x \text{ is norm-continuous}\}$$

and

$$Q(B)_G = \{x \in Q(B) : \ G \ni g \mapsto g \cdot x \text{ is norm-continuous}\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & B \arrow[r] & M(B)_G \arrow[r] & Q(B)_G \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd} \qquad (1)$$

is a short exact sequence of G-algebras. (This is not trivial - the surjectivity of the quotient map follows from Theorem 2.1 of [Th1].) We are going to construct a map $\alpha : [[SA, Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}]] \to \mathrm{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})_h$. The key to this is another variant of the Voiculescu's tri-diagonal projection trick from [V]. Let b be a strictly positive element of $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$, $0 \leq b \leq 1$. A *unit sequence* in $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that

0) there is a continuous function $f_n : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ which is zero in a neighbourhood of 0 and $u_n = f_n(b)$,
1) $0 \leq u_n \leq 1$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots$,
2) $u_{n+1} u_n = u_n$ for all n,
3) $\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n x = x, \ x \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$,
4) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|g \cdot u_n - u_n\| = 0, \ g \in G$.

Let $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=0}^{\infty}$ be the matrix units acting on $l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ in the standard way.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{U} = \{u_n\}$ be a unit sequence in $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Then*

$$\sqrt{u_0} e_{00} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{u_j - u_{j-1}} e_{0j}$$

converges in the strict topology to a partial isometry V in $\mathbb{L}(l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ such that $VV^* = e_{00}$.

Proof. Let $b = (b_0, b_1, b_2, \cdots) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i e_i \in l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Then

$$\| \sum_{j=n}^{m} \sqrt{u_j - u_{j-1}} e_{0j}(b) \|^2 = \| \sum_{k,j=n}^{m} b_k^* \sqrt{u_k - u_{k-1}} \sqrt{u_j - u_{j-1}} b_j \|$$

$$= \| \sum_{k=n}^{m} b_k^* (u_k - u_{k-1}) b_k + \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} b_k^* \sqrt{u_k - u_{k-1}} \sqrt{u_{k+1} - u_k} b_{k+1} + \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} b_{k+1}^* \sqrt{u_{k+1} - u_k} \sqrt{u_k - u_{k-1}} b_k \|$$

$$\leq \| \sum_{k=n}^{m} b_k^* b_k \| + 2 \sqrt{\| \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} b_k^* b_k \|} \sqrt{\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} b_k^* b_k \|},$$

proving that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{u_j - u_{j-1}} e_{0j}(b)$ converges in $l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$. And

$$\|(\sum_{j=n}^{m} \sqrt{u_j - u_{j-1}} e_{0j})^*(b)\|^2 = \| \sum_{j=n}^{m} b_0^* (u_j - u_{j-1}) b_0 \|,$$

proving that also $(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{u_j - u_{j-1}} e_{0j})^*(b)$ converges in $l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$. It follows that

$$V = \sqrt{u_0} e_{00} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{u_j - u_{j-1}} e_{0j}$$

exists as a strict limit in $\mathbb{L}(l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K}))$. It it then straightforward to check that $VV^* = e_{00}$. ☐

Let $P_{\mathcal{U}} = V^* V$ and note that $P_{\mathcal{U}}$ is tri-diagonal with respect to the matrix units $\{e_{ij}\}$. Fix now a continuous and homogeneous section χ for the map $q_B \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{K}} : M(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K} \to Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Consider an equicontinuous asymptotic homomorphism $\varphi = (\varphi_t)_{t \in [1,\infty)} : A \to Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Let $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq F_3 \subseteq \cdots$ be a sequence of finite sets with dense union in A and $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq K_3 \subseteq \cdots$ a sequence of compact subsets in G such that $\bigcup_n K_n = G$. It is easy to see that there is a unit sequence $\{u_n\}$ in $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ with the following properties :

5) $\|u_n \chi(\varphi_t(a)) - \chi(\varphi_t(a)) u_n\| \leq \frac{1}{n}, \ a \in F_n, \ t \in [1, n+1]$,
6) $\|(1 - u_n)(\chi(\varphi_t(ab)) - \chi(\varphi_t(a))\chi(\varphi_t(b)))\| \leq \|\varphi_t(ab) - \varphi_t(a)\varphi_t(b)\| + \frac{1}{n}, \ t \in [1, n+1], \ a, b \in F_n$,
7) $\|(1-u_n)(\chi(\varphi_t(a+b)) - \chi(\varphi_t(a)) - \chi(\varphi_t(b)))\| \leq \|\varphi_t(a+b) - \varphi_t(a) - \varphi_t(b)\| + \frac{1}{n}, \ t \in [1, n+1], \ a, b \in F_n$,
8) $\|(1 - u_n)(g \cdot \chi(\varphi_t(a)) - \chi(\varphi_t(g \cdot a)))\| \leq \|g \cdot \varphi_t(a) - \varphi_t(g \cdot a)\| + \frac{1}{n}, \ t \in [1, n], \ a \in F_n, \ g \in K_n$.

Let $\{\varphi_{t_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a discretization of φ, cf. Lemma 5.1 of [MT1], such that

9) $t_n \leq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Set

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(a) = P_{\mathcal{U}}\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \chi(\varphi_{t_{j+1}}(a)) e_{jj}\Big) P_{\mathcal{U}} \ .$$

Then $\widetilde{\varphi} : A \to \mathbb{L}(l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ is an equivariant $*$-homomorphism modulo $\mathbb{K}(l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K}))$. By identifying $\mathbb{L}(l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ with $M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, $\mathbb{K}(l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ with $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and the quotient $\mathbb{L}(l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K}))/\mathbb{K}(l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ with $Q(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, we can consider $\widetilde{\varphi}$ as a map $\widetilde{\varphi} : A \to M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ with the property that $q_{B \otimes \mathcal{K}} \circ \widetilde{\varphi} \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(A, Q(B \otimes \mathcal{K}))$.

Lemma 4.2. *The class of* $q_{B \otimes \mathcal{K}} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}$ *in* $\operatorname{Ext}(A, B \otimes \mathcal{K})_h$ *is independent of the choice of unit sequence, subject to the conditions 0)-8), and of the chosen discretization, subject to condition 9), and depends only on the class* $[\varphi]$ *of* φ *in* $[[A, Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$.

Proof. Let $\{v_n\}$ be another unit sequence satisfying 0)-8). There is then a unit sequence $\{w_n\}$ in $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $w_n v_n = v_n, w_n u_n = u_n$ for all n. Connect u_0 to w_0 by a straight line, then u_1 to w_1 by a straight line, etc. This gives a path $\{w_n^t\}_{t \in [0,1[}$ of unit sequences. For each $t \in [0, 1[$ we get then a map $\mu_t : A \to M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that $q_{B \otimes \mathcal{K}} \circ \mu_t \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(A, Q(B \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ and $[q_{B \otimes \mathcal{K}} \circ \mu_0] = [q_{B \otimes \mathcal{K}} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}(A, B \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Let $\delta : A \to M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ be the map obtained from φ as $\widetilde{\varphi}$ was, but by using $\{w_n\}$ instead of $\{u_n\}$. Then $\lim_{t \to 1} \mu_t(a) = \delta(a)$ in the strict topology for all $a \in A$, and

$$\lim_{t \to 1} \mu_t(a)\mu_t(b) - \mu_t(ab) = \delta(a)\delta(b) - \delta(ab),$$

$$\lim_{t \to 1} \mu_t(a + \lambda b) - \mu_t(a) - \lambda \mu_t(b) = \delta(a + b) - \delta(a) - \lambda \delta(b),$$

$$\lim_{t \to 1} \mu_t(a^*) - \mu_t(a)^* = \delta(a^*) - \delta(a)^*,$$

$$\lim_{t \to 1} \mu_t(g \cdot a) - g \cdot \mu_t(a) = \delta(g \cdot a) - g \cdot \delta(a),$$

in norm for all $a, b \in A, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, g \in G$. Hence $[q_{B \otimes \mathcal{K}} \circ \delta] = [q_{B \otimes \mathcal{K}} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}(A, B \otimes \mathcal{K})_h$. The same argument with the unit sequence $\{u_n\}$ replaced by $\{v_n\}$ shows that the class of $[q_{B \otimes \mathcal{K}} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}(A, B \otimes \mathcal{K})_h$ is independent of the choice of unit sequence. Once this is established it is clear that a homotopy of asymptotic homomorphisms $A \to Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}$ gives rise, by an appropriate choice of unit sequence, to a homotopy which shows that $[q_{B \otimes \mathcal{K}} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}] \in \operatorname{Ext}(A, B \otimes \mathcal{K})_h$ only depends on the homotopy class of φ. That $[q_{B \otimes \mathcal{K}} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}]$ is also independent of the discretization and only depends on the homotopy class of φ follows in the same way as in Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4 of [MT1].

$\square$

It follows that we have the desired map $\alpha : [[A, Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}]] \to \operatorname{Ext}(A, B \otimes \mathcal{K})_h$ which is easily seen to be a semi-group homomorphism.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\varphi : SA \to Q(B) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ be an equivariant $*$-homomorphism which we consider as a (constant) asymptotic homomorphism. Let X be a compact subset with dense span in SA and choose a unit sequence $\mathcal{U} = \{u_n\}$ in $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$\|\sqrt{u_n - u_{n-1}}\chi(\varphi(a)) - \chi(\varphi(a))\sqrt{u_n - u_{n-1}}\| < 2^{-n} \tag{2}$$

for all $a \in X$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|g \cdot \sqrt{u_j - u_{j-1}} - \sqrt{u_j - u_{j-1}}\|^2 < \infty \tag{3}$$

for all $g \in G$. Then $[q_{B\otimes\mathcal{K}} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}] = [\iota \circ \varphi]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})$, where $\iota : Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K} \to Q(B \otimes \mathcal{K})_G$ is the natural embedding.

Proof. $\widetilde{\varphi}$ has the form $\widetilde{\varphi}(a) = P_{\mathcal{U}}(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \chi(\varphi(a))e_{jj})P_{\mathcal{U}}$. Let $V \in \mathbb{L}(l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ be the partial isometry defining $P_{\mathcal{U}}$ and note that $g \cdot V - V \in \mathbb{K}(l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ for all $g \in G$ because of (3). Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} V & 1 - VV^* \\ 1 - V^*V & -V^* \end{pmatrix}$$

is a unitary in $M_2(\mathbb{L}(l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K})))$ which is G-invariant modulo $M_2(\mathbb{K}(l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K})))$ and satisfies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} V & 1 - VV^* \\ 1 - V^*V & -V^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\varphi} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V^* & 1 - V^*V \\ 1 - VV^* & -V \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\varphi_0(a) = (\sqrt{u_0}\chi(\varphi(a))\sqrt{u_0} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{u_j - u_{j-1}}\chi(\varphi(a))\sqrt{u_j - u_{j-1}})e_{00}$. Thanks to (2) we have that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\sqrt{u_j - u_{j-1}}\chi(\varphi(a))\sqrt{u_j - u_{j-1}} - (u_j - u_{j-1})\chi(\varphi(a))\| < \infty$$

for all $a \in X$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(u_j - u_{j-1})\chi(\varphi(a)) + u_0\chi(\varphi(a)) = \chi(\varphi(a))$ (with convergence in the strict topology) we find that $\varphi_0(a) = \chi(\varphi(a))e_{00}$ modulo $\mathbb{K}(l_2(B \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ for all $a \in X$, and hence in fact for all $a \in SA$. This proves the lemma.

□

5. The main results

Since A is separable, $[[SA, X \otimes \mathcal{K}]] = \varinjlim_D [[SA, D \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$ for any G-algebra X, when we take the limit over all separable G-subalgebras D of X. It follows from [DL] that the suspension map $S : [[SA, X \otimes \mathcal{K}]] \to [[S^2A, SX \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$ is an isomorphism.[3] Hence $[[SA, - \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$ is a homotopy invariant and half-exact functor on the category of G-algebras (and not only separable G-algebras). There is therefore a map

$$\partial : [[SA, SQ(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}]] \to [[SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$$

arising as the boundary map coming from the extension (1), cf. e.g. [GHT]. Well-known arguments from the K-theory of C^*-algebras, cf. [Bl], show that $[[SA, SM(B)_G \otimes$

---

[3] Dadarlat and Loring did not consider the equivariant theory in [DL], but it is easy to check that their arguments carry over unchanged.

$\mathcal{K}]] = [[SA, M(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}]] = 0$, so the six-terms exact sequence obtained by applying $[[SA, - \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$ to (1) shows that ∂ is an isomorphism. For any G-algebra D we let $s : D \to D \otimes \mathcal{K}$ be the stabilising $*$-homomorphism given by $s(d) = d \otimes e$ for some minimal projection $e \in \mathcal{K}$. Since B is weakly stable there is an equivariant $*$-isomorphism $\gamma_0 : B \otimes \mathcal{K} \to B$ such that $s \circ \gamma_0 : B \otimes \mathcal{K} \to B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is equivariantly homotopic to $\mathrm{id}_{B\otimes\mathcal{K}}$. Let $\gamma : Q(B \otimes \mathcal{K})_G \to Q(B)_G$ the $*$-isomorphism induced by γ_0.

Lemma 5.1. *The composition of the maps*

$$[[S^2A, B \otimes \mathcal{K}]] \xrightarrow{\partial^{-1}} [[S^2A, SQ(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$$

$$\xrightarrow{\quad S^{-1} \quad} [[SA, Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}]] \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})_h \xrightarrow{CH} [[S^2A, B \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$$

is the identity.

Proof. We are going to use Theorem 2.3 of [H-LT].[4] Let $x = s_*([\mathrm{id}_{SB}]) \in [[SB, SB\otimes \mathcal{K}]]$, where $[\mathrm{id}_{SB}] \in [[SB, SB]]$ is the element represented by the identity map of SB and $s : SB \to SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is the stabilising $*$-homomorphism. By Theorem 2.3 of [H-LT] it suffices to identify the image of x under the Bott-periodicity isomorphism $[[SB, SB \otimes \mathcal{K}]] \simeq [[S^2B, B \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$ and show that the image of that element is not changed under the map we are trying to prove is always the identity. This is what we do. Under the isomorphism $[[SB, SB \otimes \mathcal{K}]] \simeq [[S^2B, B \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$, coming from Bott-periodicity, the image of x is represented by the asymptotic homomorphism $S^2B \to B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ arising by applying the Connes-Higson construction to the Toeplitz extension tensored with B :

$$0 \longrightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow T_0 \otimes B \longrightarrow SB \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4}$$

In other words, if $\varphi : SB \to Q(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is the Busby invariant of (4) the image of x in $[[S^2B, B \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$ is $[CH(\varphi)]$. For each separable G-subalgebra $D \subseteq Q(B)_G$ we let $\iota_D : D \to Q(B)_G$ denote the inclusion. Then the boundary map $\partial : [[S^2B, SQ(B)_G\otimes \mathcal{K}]] \to [[S^2B, B \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$ is given by

$$\partial(z) \;=\; \lim_D [CH(\iota_D) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{K}}] \bullet z,$$

where $\bullet$ denote the composition product in E-theory. Hence $\partial^{-1}[CH(\varphi)]$ is the element $z \in [[S^2B, SQ(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$ with the property that

$$\lim_D [CH(\iota_D) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{K}}] \bullet z = [CH(\varphi)]$$

for all large enough D. Let $\iota : Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K} \to Q(B \otimes \mathcal{K})_G$ be the natural embedding. By the naturality of the Connes-Higson construction,

$$[CH(\iota_D) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{K}}] \bullet S([s \circ \gamma \circ \varphi]) = [CH(\iota \circ s \circ \gamma \circ \varphi)]$$

for all separable G-subalgebras $D \subseteq Q(B)_G$ which contains $\gamma \circ \varphi(SB)$. Since $s \circ \gamma_0$ is equivariantly homotopic to the identity map, we have that

$$[CH(\iota \circ s \circ \gamma \circ \varphi)] = (s \circ \gamma_0)_*[CH(\varphi)] = [CH(\varphi)],$$

---

[4] The equivariant theory was not explicitly considered in [H-LT], but all arguments carry over unchanged.

so we conclude that $\partial^{-1}[CH(\varphi)] = S([s \circ \gamma \circ \varphi])$. Hence $\alpha \circ S^{-1} \circ \partial^{-1}[CH(\varphi)] = [\iota \circ s \circ \gamma \circ \varphi]$ by Lemma 4.3. Thus the image of $[CH(\varphi)]$ in $[[S^2B, B \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$ under the composite map is $CH[\iota \circ s \circ \gamma \circ \varphi] = (s \circ \gamma_0)_*[CH(\varphi)] = [CH(\varphi)]$. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let* $\lambda \in \operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})$. *Then* $\varphi = s \circ \gamma \circ \lambda$ *is an equivariant* $*$*-homomorphism* $\varphi : SA \to Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}$ *such that* $\alpha[\varphi] = s_* \circ \gamma_*[\lambda]$ *in* $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})_h$ *and such that* $[\varphi] = 0$ *in* $[[SA, Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$ *implies that* $[\lambda] = 0$ *in* $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})$.

Proof. If $[\varphi] = 0$ in $[[SA, Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$, there is a path $\mu^t, t \in [0, 1]$, of asymptotic homomorphisms $SA \to Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $\mu^0 = \varphi$ and $\mu^1 = 0$ and a unit sequence $\mathcal{U} = \{u_n\}$ in $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$q_{B\otimes\mathcal{K}} \circ \widetilde{\mu^t}, \ t \in [0, 1], \tag{5}$$

connects $q_{B\otimes\mathcal{K}} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}$ to 0. By Theorem 3.4 we may assume that μ is an equi-homotopy and it is then easy to see that (5) is a strong homotopy. By Lemma 2.4 we conclude from this that $[q_{B\otimes\mathcal{K}} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}] = 0$ in $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})$. But $[q_{B\otimes\mathcal{K}} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}] = [\varphi]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ by Lemma 4.3. Hence $\alpha[\varphi] = s_* \circ \gamma_*[\lambda]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})_h$ and $[\varphi] = 0 \Rightarrow s_* \circ \gamma_*[\lambda] = 0$ in $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})$. To complete the proof it suffices to show that $s_* \circ \gamma_* : \operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K}) \to \operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is injective. However, γ is an equivariant $*$-isomorphism and therefore γ_* is an isomorphism. The injectivity of $s_* : \operatorname{Ext}(SA, B) \to \operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ follows from the weak stability of B : There is a G-invariant isometry $V \in M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that $x \mapsto V^*s(x)V$ is an equivariant $*$-automorphism $B \otimes \mathcal{K} \to B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $s(x) = \operatorname{Ad} V(V^*s(x)V)$. Since $\operatorname{Ad} V$ induces the identity map on $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ we see that $s_* : \operatorname{Ext}(SA, B) \to \operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is an isomorphism.

□

Lemma 5.3. *The map* $CH : \operatorname{Ext}(SA, B) \to [[S^2A, B]]$ *is injective.*

Proof. Consider an extension $\lambda \in \operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ and assume that $[CH(\lambda)] = 0$ in $[[S^2A, B \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$. With the notation from Lemma 5.2 we find that $CH \circ \alpha[\varphi] = CH[s \circ \gamma \circ \lambda] = s_* \circ \gamma_*[CH(\lambda)] = 0$. But then Lemma 5.1 implies that $[\varphi] = 0$ in $[[SA, Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$. By Lemma 5.2 this yields the conclusion that $[\lambda] = 0$ in $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Thus $CH : \operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K}) \to [[S^2A, B \otimes \mathcal{K}]]$ is injective. But B is weakly stable so the result follows. □

Corollary 5.4. $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B) = \operatorname{Ext}(SA, B)_h$.

The surjectivity of $CH : \operatorname{Ext}(SA, B) \to [[S^2A, B]]$ follows from Lemma 5.1. Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 5.3 that α is well-defined as a map $\alpha : [[SA, Q(B)_G \otimes \mathcal{K}]] \to \operatorname{Ext}(SA, B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ and then Lemma 5.1 tells us that

$$CH^{-1} = \alpha \circ S^{-1} \circ \partial^{-1}.$$

Another description of CH^{-1} can be obtained from [MT2]. The crucial construction for this is the map E which was considered in [MT1] and [MT2], inspired by [MM] and [MN]. However, in [MT1] and [MT2] we only defined E as a map into homotopy classes of extensions, so to see that the E-construction can also invert the CH-map of Lemma 5.3 we must show that it is well-defined as a map from homotopy classes of asymptotic homomorphisms to stable unitary equivalence classes of extensions. Let us therefore review the construction.

Given an equicontinuous asymptotic homomorphism $\varphi = \{\varphi_t\}_{t\in[1,\infty)} : A \to B$ we choose a discretization $\{\varphi_{t_i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim_{i\to\infty} t_i = \infty$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty}\sup_{t\in[t_i,t_{i+1}]}\|\varphi_t(a)-\varphi_{t_i}(a)\| = 0$ for all $a \in A$. Since G is σ-compact (and φ equicontinuous) we can also arrange that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\sup_{t\in[t_i,t_{i+1}]}\sup_{g\in K}\|g\cdot\varphi_t(a)-\varphi_t(g\cdot a)\| = 0$$

for all $a \in A$ and all compact subsets $K \subseteq G$. To define from such a discretization a map $\Phi : A \to \mathbb{L}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)$ we introduce the standard matrix units $e_{ij}, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, which act on the Hilbert B-module $l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B$ in the obvious way. Then

$$\Phi(a) = \sum_{i\geq 1}\varphi_{t_i}(a)e_{ii}$$

defines a map $\Phi : A \to \mathbb{L}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1 we can define a representation of G on $l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B$ and in this way obtain a representation of G as automorphisms of $\mathbb{L}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)$. Since B is weakly stable we can identify B with $\mathbb{K}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B))$, the B-compact operators in $\mathbb{L}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)$. Observe that Φ is then an equivariant $*$-homomorphism modulo B. Furthermore, $\Phi(a)$ commutes modulo B with the two-sided shift $T = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} e_{j,j+1}$ which is G-invariant. So we get in this way a G-extension $E(\varphi) : A \to Q(B) = \mathbb{L}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)/\mathbb{K}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)$ such that

$$E(\varphi)(f\otimes a) = f(\underline{T})\underline{\Phi(a)}$$

for all $f \in C(\mathbb{T}), a \in A$. Here and in the following we denote by $\underline{S}$ the image in $Q(B) = \mathbb{L}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)/\mathbb{K}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)$ of an element $S \in \mathbb{L}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)$.

Lemma 5.5. *$E(\varphi)$ is a semi-invertible G-extension, and up to stable unitary equivalence it does not depend on the chosen discretization of φ.*

Proof. Consider another discretization $(\varphi_{s_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of φ and define $\Psi : A \to \mathbb{L}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)$ by

$$\Psi(a) = \sum_{i\leq 0}\varphi_{s_{-i+1}}(a)e_{ii}.$$

There is then a G-extension $-E(\varphi) : C(\mathbb{T})\otimes A \to \mathbb{L}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)/\mathbb{K}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)$ such that $-E(\varphi)(f\otimes a) = f(\underline{T})\underline{\Psi(a)}$. It suffices to show that $-E(\varphi)\oplus E(\varphi)$ is unitarily equivalent to an asymptotically split G-extension. Define $\Lambda : A \to \mathbb{L}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)$ such that

$$\Lambda(a) = \sum_{i\geq 1}\varphi_{t_i}(a)e_{ii} + \sum_{i\leq 0}\varphi_{s_{-i+1}}(a)e_{ii}.$$

There is then a G-extension $\pi_0 : C(\mathbb{T})\otimes A \to \mathbb{L}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)/\mathbb{K}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)$ such that $\pi_0(f\otimes a) = f(\underline{T})\underline{\Lambda(a)}$. $-E(\varphi)\oplus E(\varphi)$ is clearly unitarily equivalent (via a G-invariant unitary) to $\pi_0\oplus 0$, so it suffices to show that π_0 is asymptotically split. For each n we define $\Lambda_n : A \to \mathbb{L}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)$ by

$$\Lambda_n(a) =$$
$$\sum_{i>n}\varphi_{t_i}(a)e_{ii} + \sum_{1\leq i\leq n}\varphi_{t_n}(a)e_{ii} + \sum_{\{i\leq 0:\ s_{-i+1}\leq t_n\}}\varphi_{t_n}(a)e_{ii} + \sum_{\{i\leq 0:\ s_{-i+1}>t_n\}}\varphi_{s_i}(a)e_{ii}.$$

Then $\{\Lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a discrete asymptotic homomorphism such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\Lambda_n(a)-\Lambda_{n+1}(a)\|=0$, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|g\cdot\Lambda_n(a)-\Lambda_n(g\cdot a)\|=0, g\in G$, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|T\Lambda_n(a)-\Lambda_n(a)T\|=0$ and $\Lambda_n(a)=\Lambda(a)$ modulo $\mathbb{K}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)$. By convex interpolation and an obvious application of the C^*-algebra

$$\{f\in C_b([1,\infty),M(B))\ :\ q_B(f(t))=q_B(f(1)),t\in[1,\infty)\}/C_0([1,\infty),B)$$

we get an asymptotic homomorphism $(\pi_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}:C(\mathbb{T})\otimes A\to M(B)=\mathbb{L}(l_2(\mathbb{Z})\otimes B)$ such that $\pi_0=q_B\circ\pi_t$ for all t. □

Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 5.5 in combination show that there is group homomorphism $E:[[SA,B]]\to\operatorname{Ext}(C(\mathbb{T})\otimes SA,B)$ such that $E[\varphi]=[E(\varphi)]$ for any equicontinuous asymptotic homomorphism $\varphi:SA\to B$. By pulling extensions back along the inclusion $S^2A\subseteq C(\mathbb{T})\otimes SA$ we can also consider E as a map $E:[[SA,B]]\to\operatorname{Ext}(S^2A,B)$. Let $\chi:SA\to S^3M_2(A)$ be a $*$-homomorphism which is invertible in KK-theory. By weak stability of B there is also an isomorphism $\beta:[[S^2A,B]]\to[[S^2M_2(A),B]]$. Let $\xi:S^2\to\mathcal{K}$ be the asymptotic homomorphism which arises from the Connes-Higson construction applied to the Toeplitz extension. By changing χ 'by a sign' we may assume that the composite map

$$[[S^2A,B]]\xrightarrow{\beta}[[S^2M_2(A),B]]\xrightarrow{[\varphi]\mapsto[\xi\otimes\varphi]}[[S^4M_2(A),B]]\xrightarrow{(S\chi)^*}[[S^2A,B]]$$

is the identity. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Ext}(SA,B) \arrow[d, "CH"'] & \operatorname{Ext}(S^3M_2(A),B) \arrow[l, "\chi^*"'] \arrow[d, "CH"] \\
{[[S^2A,B]]} \arrow[ur, "E\circ\beta"] & {[[S^4M_2(A),B]].} \arrow[l, "(S\chi)^*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The square commutes by the naturality of the Connes-Higson construction, and it follows from Lemma 2.3 of [MT2] (or Lemma 5.5 of [MT1]) that $(S\chi)^*\circ CH\circ E\circ\beta=\mathrm{id}$. We conclude therefore that $CH\circ\chi^*\circ E\circ\beta=\mathrm{id}$. We have now obtained our main results :

Theorem 5.6. *Let A and B be separable G-algebras, B weakly stable. $CH:\operatorname{Ext}(SA,B)\to[[S^2A,B]]$ is an isomorphism with inverse $\chi^*\circ E\circ\beta$.*

It follows, of course, that the bifunctor $\operatorname{Ext}(SA,B)$ has the same properties as E-theory, such as excision and Bott periodicity in both variables, for example.

Theorem 5.7. *Let A and B be separable G-algebras, B weakly stable, and let $\varphi,\psi:SA\to Q(B)$ be two G-extensions. The following conditions are equivalent :*

1) *$[\varphi]=[\psi]$ in $\operatorname{Ext}(SA,B)$ (i.e. φ and ψ are stably unitarily equivalent).*
2) *$\varphi\oplus 0$ and $\psi\oplus 0$ are strongly homotopic.*
3) *φ and ψ are homotopic.*

Proof. 1) ⇒ 2): Assuming 1) there is an asymptotically split extension λ such that $\varphi\oplus\lambda$ and $\psi\oplus\lambda$ are unitarily equivalent. By Lemma 6.1 of [Th1] this implies that $\varphi\oplus\lambda\oplus 0$ and $\psi\oplus\lambda\oplus 0$ are strongly homotopic. Then $\varphi\oplus\lambda\oplus(\lambda\circ\alpha)\oplus 0$ and $\psi\oplus\lambda\oplus(\lambda\circ\alpha)\oplus 0$ are also strongly homotopic, where $\alpha\in\operatorname{Aut}SA$ inverts the orientation of the suspension. 2) follows by observing that $\lambda \oplus (\lambda \circ \alpha)$ is strongly homotopic to 0. 2) $\Rightarrow$ 3) follows because an invariant isometry in $M(B)$ can be connected to 1 via a strictly continuous path of G-invariant isometries, cf. e.g. Lemma 3.3 2) of [Th1]. 3) $\Rightarrow$ 1) follows from Lemma 5.3. □

Remark 5.8. It is easy to extend Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.7 to the case where B is only σ-unital (i.e. contains a strictly positive element). In fact, it suffices to observe that

$$\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B) \simeq \varinjlim{}_D \operatorname{Ext}(SA, D),$$

where we take the limit over all weakly stable separable G-subalgebras D of B with the property that D contains a positive element which is strictly positive in B.

6. K-HOMOLOGY

It follows from Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.7 that $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, B) = [[S^2A, B]]$ can also be identified with the homotopy classes of equivariant $*$-homomorphisms $\psi : SA \to Q(B)$ with the property that $\psi \oplus 0$ is strongly homotopic to ψ. As a consequence we conclude that

$$[[S^2A, B]] \ \simeq \ \varinjlim{}_n [SA, Q(B) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})],$$

where $[\cdot\ ,\ \cdot]$ denotes homotopy classes of equivariant $*$-homomorphisms. In the important special case where $B = \mathcal{K}$, and the group G is trivial, we can even do better. Let Q denote the Calkin algebra, $Q = \mathbb{L}(l_2)/\mathbb{K}(l_2)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\varphi : SA \to Q$ be a $*$-homomorphism. There is then an isometry $V \in \mathbb{L}(l_2)$ with infinite dimensional co-kernel and a $*$-homomorphism $\varphi_0 : SA \to Q$ such that φ is homotopic to $\operatorname{Ad} q_{\mathcal{K}}(V) \circ \varphi_0$.*

Proof. We may assume that φ is not homotopic to 0. Let $\iota_i : SA \to SA, i = 1, 2$, be $*$-homomorphisms with orthogonal ranges, both homotopic to the identity map. Then $\varphi \circ \iota_1$ and $\varphi \circ \iota_2$ are homotopic to φ, and in particular non-zero. Let a be a non-zero positive element in the range of $\varphi \circ \iota_2$ and let $b \in \mathbb{L}(l_2)$ be a positive lift of a. By spectral theory $b\mathbb{L}(l_2)b$ contains a projection E with non-zero image in Q. Since $(1 - q_{\mathcal{K}}(E))x = x$ for all $x \in \varphi \circ \iota_1(SA)$, we conclude that $1 - q_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ is non-zero in Q. It follows that there is an isometry V with infinite dimensional co-kernel such that $VV^* = 1 - E$. Set $\varphi_0 = \operatorname{Ad} q_{\mathcal{K}}(V^*) \circ \varphi \circ \iota_1$.

□

Theorem 6.2. *Let A be a separable C^*-algebra. Then $E(A, \mathbb{C})$ is naturally isomorphic to the group $[SA, Q]$ of homotopy classes of $*$-homomorphisms from SA to Q.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 6.1 that φ is strongly homotopic to $\varphi \oplus 0$ for any $*$-homomorphism $\varphi : SA \to Q$. By using that the unitary group of $\mathbb{L}(l_2)$ is norm-connected, it follows from this and Theorem 5.7 that $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, \mathcal{K})$ is naturally isomorphic to $[SA, Q]$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}(SA, \mathcal{K})$ is naturally isomorphic to $E(A, \mathbb{C})$ by Theorem 5.6, this completes the proof. □

A weak version of Theorem 6.2 was conjectured by Rosenberg in [R].

References

[Bl] B. Blackadar, *K-theory for Operator Algebras*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

[BDF] L.G. Brown, R.G. Douglas, P.A. Fillmore, *Extensions of C^*-algebras and K-homology*, Ann. of Math. **105** (1977), 265-324.

[CH] A. Connes, N. Higson, *Déformations, morphismes asymptotiques et K-théorie bivariante*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **311** (1990), 101–106.

[DL] M. Dădărlat, T.A. Loring, *K-homology, asymptotic representations and unsuspended E-theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **126** (1994), 367–383.

[GHT] E. Guentner, N. Higson, J. Trout, *Equivariant E-theory for C^*-algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (2000), No 703.

[HR] J. Hjelmborg and M. Rørdam, *On stability of C^*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **155** (1998), 153–170.

[H-LT] T. Houghton-Larsen, K. Thomsen, *Universal (co)homology theories*, K-theory **16** (1999), 1-27.

[K] G. Kasparov, *Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), 513-572.

[Ki] E. Kirchberg, *On non-semisplit extensions, tensor products and exactness of group C^*-algebras*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), 449-489.

[L] T. Loring, *Almost multiplicative maps between C^*-algebras*, Operator Algebras and Quantum Field Theory, Rome 1996.

[MM] V. M. Manuilov, A. S. Mishchenko, *Asymptotic and Fredholm representations of discrete groups*, Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math. **189** (1998), 1485–1504.

[MN] A. S. Mishchenko, Noor Mohammad, *Asymptotic representations of discrete groups*, in 'Lie Groups and Lie Algebras. Their Representations, Generalizations and Applications', Mathematics and its Applications **433**, Klüver Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998, 299-312.

[M] V. M. Manuilov, *Asymptotic homomorphisms into the Calkin algebras*, Preprint, 1999.

[MT1] V. M. Manuilov, K. Thomsen, *Quasidiagonal extensions and sequentially trivial asymptotic homomorphisms*, Advances in Math. 154 (2000), 258-279.

[MT2] ——, *Asymptotically split extensions and E-theory*, Algebra i Analiz 12 (2000) No 5, 142-157 (in Russian); English translation: St. Petersburg Math. J., to appear.

[R] J. Rosenberg, *The role of K-theory in non-commutative algebraic topology*, Operator Algebras and K-theory. Contemporary Mathematics **10** (ed. R. Douglas and C. Schochet), Amer. Math. Soc. 1982.

[Th1] K. Thomsen, *Equivariant KK-theory and C^*-extensions*, K-theory **19** (2000), 219-249.

[Th2] ——, *Discrete asymptotic homomorphisms in E-theory and KK-theory*, Math. Scand., to appear.

[V] D. Voiculescu, *A note on quasidiagonal C^*-algebras and homotopy*, Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), 267-271.

V. M. Manuilov
Dept. of Mech. and Math.,
Moscow State University,
Moscow, 119899, Russia
e-mail: manuilov@mech.math.msu.su

K. Thomsen
Institut for matematiske fag,
Ny Munkegade, 8000 Aarhus C,
Denmark
e-mail: matkt@imf.au.dk